Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,828	ROSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maryam Monshipouri	1653
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Maryam Monshipouri</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. P. A. Borden</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 March 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 first paragraph,		
Claims discussed: 1-2, 30, 34-36		•
Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		,
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: lack of enablement issues and written description issues directed to said claims were discussed. The examiner requested increasing percentage identity in claims 1-2 and 34-36 and reciting function in said claims. Ms. Borden agreed with the proposed changes to the claims and gave authority to the examiner to amend the claims in an Examiner's amendment.